COCHINMICINS, NOVEL AND POTENT CYCLODEPSIPEPTIDE ENDOTHELIN ANTAGONISTS FROM A Microbispora sp.

II. STRUCTURE DETERMINATION

Deborah Zink, Otto D. Hensens, Y. K. Tony Lam, Robert Reamer and Jerrold M. Liesch

Merck Research Laboratories, Rahway, New Jersey 07065, U.S.A.

 \mathcal{L} (Received for \mathcal{L} may 14, 1992) \mathcal{L}

Cochinmicins I, II, and III are competitive endothelin antagonists produced by Microbispora sp. ATCC 55140. The cochinmicins are cyclic depsipeptides containing six α -amino acids and a pyrrolecarboxylic acid. Based upon μ s, μ and $2D$ nm, μ and λ U there are structures and absolute stereochemistries of the cochinmicins have been assigned. All three components have the same basic sequence and contain one equivalent each of D -allo-threonine, D-alanine, L -phenylalanine, D-phenylalanine, 5-chloropyrrole-2-carboxylic acid (or pyrrole-2-carboxylic acid in cochinmicin I), plus two equivalents of 3.5-dihydroxyphenylglycine (DHPG). The phenylalanine residues were differentiated via a methanolysis product which contained only one of the phenylalanine residues. Both DHPG residues have the D configuration in the more active cochinmicins I and III. Cochinmicin II contains both D- and L-DHPG and these residues have been differentiated in the sequence based upon 1 H NMP data been differentiated in the sequence based upon *H NMRdata.

The coching are continuous relations are continuous produced by Microbispora spectrum sp. ATCC55 140. ATCC555 140. ATCC555 140. ATCC555 140. ATCC555 Cochinnelis \mathbf{R} (\mathbf{z}) and \mathbf{H} ($\mathbf{3}$) are stereoisomeric with each other and cochinmicin I (1) is the deschloro analog of 3. Cochinmicin II appears to be identical to the previously reported compound 55185 RP,¹⁾ whose stereochemistry was not assigned. In the preceding paper,²⁾ the production, isolation, 55185 RP, $\frac{1}{2}$ was not assigned. In the preceding paper, is obtained. In the production, isolation, isol

and physico-chemical and biochemical properties of the cochinmicins have been described. In this paper, we report the structures of 1, ² and 3 including absolute stereochemistry.

Results

The molecular weights of 2 and 3 were both found to be 923 by FAB-MS and their molecular ion clusters suggested the presence of one chlorine
in each compound. The MW of 1 was similarly in each compound. The MW of 2 was similar deduced to be 889 with no chlorine present. The molecular formulae were determined to be $C_{46}H_{47}N_7O_{12}$ for 1, HR-LSIMS m/z 890.3380 $(M+H)^+$ (calcd for $C_{46}H_{48}N_7O_{12}$ 890.3361); $C_{46}H_{46}N_7O_{12}Cl$ for 2, HRFAB-MS m/z 924.3005 $(M+H)^+$ (calcd for $C_{46}H_{47}N_7O_{12}Cl$ 924.2971);

Fig. 1. Structures of the cochinmicins.

and $C_{46}H_{46}N_7O_{12}C1$ for 3, HRFAB-MS m/z 924.2949 (M + H)⁺ (calcd for $C_{46}H_{47}N_7O_{12}C1$ 924.2971).

Analysis of the acid hydrolysates of the cochinmicins by GC-MS as the trimethylsilyl derivatives indicated that each component contained one equivalent of a/fo-threonine and alanine, and two equivalents of phenylalanine and dihydroxyphenylglycine. NMR data (COSY, RELAY, HETCOR, HMQC, HMBC) corroborated these results and allowed further assignment of the dihydroxyphenylglycine residues as 3,5-dihydroxyphenylglycine (DHPG). Additionally, NMR data indicated that ¹ contained pyrrole-2-carboxylic acid and 2 and 3 contained 5-chloropyrrole-2-carboxylic acid. In each compound, the sum of the seven subunits minus 7 equivalents of water accounts for the molecular formula and suggests a cyclic structure. Preliminary examination of NMR data suggested that 2 and 3 were stereoisomeric, and that 1 was the deschloro analog of 3. were stereoisomeric, and that 1 was the description and that 1 was the description analog of 3.

NMR Analysis
Most of the structural work was performed on 2 which was the most abundant component. The sequence was established by HMBC $(^1H^{-13}C$ long-range experiment) and 2D NOE studies (Fig. 2). The ¹H NMR proton assignments for 1, 2, and 3 are listed in Table 1 and the ¹³C NMR carbon assignments 1 M NMR proton assignments for 1, 2, and 3 are listed in Table 1 and the 13C NMR and the 13C NMR and 13C NMR

FAB-MS-MS

The sequences of $1 \sim 3$ were corroborated by FAB-MS-MS. The major component, 2, will be used for discussion. Component 2 did not react when briefly treated with acetic anhydride in methanol which indicated that no free amino groups were present. Treatment of 2 with methanolic HCl afforded an increase in mass of 32 amu suggesting methanolysis of a lactone to its corresponding methyl ester, 4 . (Conversion of a free carboxylic acid moiety would have afforded an increase in mass of only 14 amu for each ester formed.) These data are consistent with a depsipeptide structure for 2 involving the hydroxyl each ester formed.) These data are consistent with a depsipeptide structure for 2 involving the hydroxyl group in the time three formation residue in lactone for \mathcal{L} carboxylic action and having the \mathcal{L} residue at the ^-terminal position.

Assignment	1	$\overline{2}$	3
	D-Ala	D-Ala	D-Ala
$2-H$	4.22 dq $(J=7.5)$	3.94 dq $(J=5, 7.5)$	4.22 dq $(J=7.5)$
$3-H$	1.29 d $(3H, J=7.5)$	1.32 d $(3H, J=7.5)$	1.31 d $(3H, J=7.5)$
NH	7.80 d $(J=7.5)$	8.17 d $(J=5)$	7.81 d $(J=7.5)$
	D-Allo-Thr	D-Allo-Thr	D-Allo-Thr
$2-H$	4.62 t $(J = \sim 9.5)$	4.45 t ($J = \sim 9.5$)	4.62 t $(J = \sim 9.5)$
$3-H$	5.18 dq $(J=10, 6.5)$	4.96 dq $(J=10, 6.5)$	5.18 dq $(J=10, 6.5)$
$4-H$	0.84 d (3H, $J=6.5$)	1.11 d $(3H, J=6.5)$	0.85 d (3H, $J=6.5$)
NH	8.81 d $(J=9)$	8.60 d $(J = \sim 8)$	8.82 d $(J=9.5)$
	L-Phe	L-Phe	L-Phe
$2-H$	4.71 ddd $(J=5, 8.5, 10.5)$	4.79 ddd $(J=5, 9, 10)$	4.72 ddd $(J=4.5, 8.5, 10.5)$
$3-Ha$	3.06 dd $(J=5, 13.5)$	3.02 dd $(J=5, 13.5)$	3.07 ddd $(J=4.5, 13.5)$
$3-Hb$	2.92 dd $(J = \sim 10.5, \sim 14)$	2.88 dd $(J=10.5, 13.5)$	2.89 dd $(J=10.5, 13.5)$
NH	8.10 d $(J=8.5)$	8.12 d $(J=9)$	8.15 d $(J=8.5)$
$2'$ -H \sim 6'-H	$7.1 \sim 7.34$ m (5H)	\sim 7.15 m (5H) ^e	$7.1 \sim 7.33$ m (5H)
	D-Phe	D-Phe	_D -Phe
$2-H$	4.33 dt $(J=5.5, 8)$	4.50 dt $(J=6, 9)$	4.33 dt $(J=5.5, 8)$
$3-Ha$	2.99 dd $(J = \sim 8, \sim 14)$	3.04 dd $(J=6, 13.5)$	2.98 dd $(J=8, 13.5)$
$3-Hb$	2.90 dd $(J=5.5, \sim 14)$	2.94 dd $(J=9, 13.5)$	2.89 dd $(J = 5.5, 13.5)$
NH	7.80 d $(J=7.5)$	8.62 d ($J = \sim 8.5$)	7.79 d $(J=8)$
$2'$ -H \sim 6'-H	$7.1 \sim 7.34$ m (5H)	7.29 m $(2H)^c$, 7.22 m $(2H)^c$,	$7.1 \sim 7.33$ m (5H)
		7.04 m $(1H)^c$	
	D-DHPG	L-DHPG	D-DHPG
$2-H$	5.22 d $(J=8)$	5.44 d $(J=9)$	5.23 d $(J = \sim 8)$
NH	7.94 d $(J=8)$	7.78 d $(J=9)$	7.96 d $(J=8)$
$2'$ -H/6'-H	6.07 s $(2H, J=2)^b$	6.23 d (2H, $J=2$)	6.08 d $(2H, J=2)^d$
$4'$ -H	6.11 t $(J=2)^{b}$	6.15 t $(J=2)$	6.12 t $(J=2)^d$
	D-DHPG	D-DHPG	D-DHPG
$2-H$	5.22 d $(J = \sim 10)$	5.25 d $(J=8)$	5.23 d $(J = \sim 10)$
NH	8.39 d $(J=9.5)$	8.27 d $(J=8)$	8.39 d $(J=10)$
$2'$ -H/6'-H	6.02 d $(2H, J=2)^b$	6.17 d (2H, $J=2$)	6.03 d $(2H, J=2)^d$
$4'$ -H	6.09 t $(J=2)^{6}$	6.20 t $(J=2)$	6.10 t $(J=2)^d$
	Pyrrole	C1-Pyrrole	C1-Pyrrole
$3-H$	6.80 m	6.87 d $(J=4)$	6.87 d $(J=4)$
4-H	6.05 m	6.04 d $(J=4)$	6.02 d $(J=4)$
$5-H$	6.87 m		
NH	11.32 br m	12.16 br s	\sim 12.15 br s

Table 1. ¹H NMR assignments of cochinmicins I (1), II (2) and III (3) in DMSO-d₆ at 30°C (400 MHz)^a.

Abbreviations: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiple; br, broad.
^a Coupling constants $(\pm 0.2 \text{ Hz})$ and proton intensities are given in parentheses. Except where otherwise noted, ϵ_{2} Coupling constants (ϵ_{2}) and proton intensity and are given using the solvent peak at δ 2.4 all chemical shift resonances correspond to one proton intensity and are given using the solvent peak at $\frac{1}{2}$. as internal standard.

b^{~d} Assignments may be interchanged.

FAB-MS-MS afforded strong spectra containing significant sequence information for both the naturally occurring depsipeptide and its simple methanolysis product, 4. The linearized methyl ester derivatives obtained by mild methanolysis were used for MS-MS analysis to avoid potential ambiguities associated with the direct analysis of cyclic peptides. All the primary C -terminal fragmentation ions (Y associated with the direct analysis of cyclic peptides. All the primary C-terminal fragmentation ions (Y-terminal fragmentation ions (Y-terminal fragmentation ions (Y-terminal fragmentation ions (Y-terminal fragmentation series) were observed and those which contained the threonine residue exhibited Y-18 ions (Fig. 3, 4).

Only two of the B-series ions at m/z 197 and 361 were observed.
A facile Y'' cleavage at the *allo*-threonine α -amine position affords a pseudo molecular ion at m/z 682 which exhibits its own Y series ions. These ions include the loss of water to give m/z 664 (base peak) $\frac{6.82 \times 10^{11} \text{ m}}{1000 \times 10^{11} \text{ m}}$ sinclude the loss of water to give m/z 664 (base peak) $\frac{100}{1000 \times 10^{11} \text{ m}}$ and the double elimination is $\frac{1}{2}$

Carbon No.	$\overline{2}$	3	Carbon No.	$\overline{2}$	3
	D-Ala	D-Ala		D-Phe	D-Phe
$C-1$	172.2 s	172.0 s	$C-2'/C-6'$	129.2 d ^b	129.1 d ^f
$C-2$	51.8 d	50.5 d	$C-3'/C-5'$	128.1 d ^c	$128.3 d$ ^g
$C-3$	16.9q	17.7 q	$C-4'$	126.3 d ^d	126.3 d ^h
	D-Allo-Thr	D-Allo-Thr		L-DHPG	D-DHPG
$C-1$	168.4 s	167.0 s	$C-1$	168.6 s	168.4 s
$C-2$	56.2 d	54.2 d	$C-2$	55.8 d	56.8 d
$C-3$	70.6 d	69.0 d	$C-1'$	138.3 s	138.8 s
$C-4$	16.6q	15.7 _q	$C-2'/C-6'$	106.6d	104.2 d
	L-Phe	L-Phe	$C-3'/C-5'$	158.0 s ^e	158.3 s
$C-1$	171.5 s	171.7 s	$C-4'$	$-102.2 d$	102.2 d
$C-2$	53.8 d	54.2 d		D-DHPG	D-DHPG
$C-3$	38.0t	38.5t	$C-1$	168.9 s	168.6 s
$C-1'$	136.9 s	136.8 s	$C-2$	56.2d	56.8 d
$C-2'/C-6'$	129.0 d ^b	129.1 d ^f	$C-1'$	139.6 s	139.4 s
$C-3'/C-5'$	128.0 d^c	128.0 d ^q	$C-2'/C-6'$	106.8d	104.7 d
$C-4'$	126.2 d ^d	126.2 d ^h	$C-3'/C-5'$	158.2 s ^e	158.3 s
	D-Phe	D-Phe	$C-4'$	101.9 d	101.7 d
$C-1$	171.1 s	170.4 s		Pyrrole	Pyrrole
$C-2$	54.9 d	54.2 d	$C-1$	159.2 s	159.2 s
$C-3$	36.8t	37.3t	$C-2$	117.2 s	117.3 s
$C-1'$	137.8 s	137.8 s	$C-3$	111.8d	111.7 d
			$C-4$	107.0 d	107.0 d
			$C-5$	125.7 s	125.6 d

Table 2. ¹³C NMR data for cochinmicins II (2) and III (3) in DMSO- d_6 at 30°C (100 MHz)^a.

Abbreviations: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet.

^a Chemical shifts in ppm downfield of TMS.

 $b \sim h$ Assignments may be interchanged.

The MS-MS spectra for 2 and 3 were identical indicating the same sequence for both compounds. Component 1 exhibited the same basic sequence ions as 2 and 3 with those containing the pyrrole-2-carboxylic acid moiety appearing at 34 amu less (deschloro) than in 2 and 3.

Absolute Stereochemistry

Amino acid enantiomers were differentiated by LC retention using the α -methylbenzyl isothiocyanate (AMBI) method³⁾ and the Marfey method.⁴⁾ The AMBI method afforded a useful derivative of the

		.				
Compound	D-allo-Thr	D-Ala	L-DHPG	D-DHPG	$I-Phe$	D-Phe
Standard	10.5	-1.0	12.0	13.0	20.0	20.3
	10.6(0.9)	$11.0(1.0)^*$	11.9(0.3)	13.0(1.8)	20.0(1.3)	20.3(1.4)
	10.7(0.8)	11.1(1.0)	12.0(1.0)	13.0(1.3)	20.1(1.4)	20.3(1.3)
	10.8(0.8)	11.2(1.0)	12.1(0.4)	13.2(1.7)	20.1(1.6)	20.4(1.6)

Retention times are given in minutes.
 $*$ The values in parentheses are relative quantitations standardized to $D - A Ia = 1.0$. * The values in parentheses are relative quantitations standardized to d-Ala= 1.0.

DHPG moieties, gave sharper peaks in general, and was cleaner, chromatographically, than the Marfey's derivative. It was, however, necessary to use Marfey's derivative for the quantitation of the D- and L-phenylalanine residues since it afforded better separation of these two isomers.

Using both methods it was difficult to differentiate all four isomers for *allo*-threonine and threonine. However, the mass spectra for the trimethylsilyl derivatives of threonine and *allo*-threonine differ clearly However, the mass spectra for the trimethylsilyl derivatives of threonine and a//<9-threonine differ clearly $\frac{1}{2}$ in the ratio of the $\frac{218}{219}$ ions. MS allowed the unequivocal assignment of *uno-threonine* in these compounds thus simplifying the problem.
Relative quantitation and retention times for the six peaks observed in each case are listed in Table

3. The traces for 1 and 3 appear quite similar and contain two equivalents of $D-DHPG$. Compound 2 $3.$ The traces for 1 and 3 appear quite similar and contain two equivalents of d-DHPG.Compound differs in that it contains approximately one equivalent of each enantiomer of D_{max} 1H/13C max 2 is stereoisomeric with 3, and 2 has the same stereochemistry as 3. The 2.11 ± 0.00000 have been differentiated in the sequence of 2 based upon *H NMRdata. There is a major variation in the chemical shift of the D-a/fo-threonine C-4 methyl resonance, between 2 and 3 whereas the D-alanine C-3 methyl resonance is similar in 2 and 3. This suggests that the DHPGresidue adjacent to the a//o-threonine residue differs in stereochemistry in 2 and 3.

To differentiate the two phenylalanine residues, 2 was subjected to more vigorous methanolysis and the mixture was monitored by FAB-MS. Among other components, the fragment allo-threoninephenvlalanine-alanine-DHPG-DHPG-methyl ester (5) was obtained. This same sequence was observed phenylalanine-alanine-DHPG-DHPG-methyl ester (5) was obtained. This same sequence was observed as a facile cleavage ion in the FAB-MSspectrum of the parent mild methanolysis product. The FAB-MS-MS spectrum of 5 exhibited the fragment ions expected based upon its sequence (Fig. 3, 5).
AMBI analysis of the acid hydrolysate of this peptide fragment isolated from methanolysis of both 2 and 3 disclosed that p-phenylalanine was present, thereby locating the p-phenylalanine residue in the position between allo-threonine and alanine. Insufficient amounts of 1 were available for direct determination in between allo-threonine and alanine. Insufficient amounts of 1 were available for direct determination in a similar manner. The phenylalanine residues are presumed to be identical in ¹ and 3 due to the equivalence of their NMR spectra.
Early attempts to use enzymatic methods on the cochinmicin components failed which, in hindsight,

Early attempts to use enzymatic methods on the cochinmicin components failed which, in hindsight, is not too surprising due to the preponderance of D-amino acid residues, the blocked TV-terminus, and the unusual DHPGresidues at the C-terminus.

Experimental

MS data were obtained on a Finnigan-MAT TSQ 70. For FAB-MS-MS experiments, positive ion daughter spectra of the protonated molecules were recorded using Ar as the collision gas. For GC-MS daughter spectra of the protonated molecules were recorded using Ar as the collision gas. For GC-MS analyses samples were hydrolyzed in 6n HC1 at 110°C for 3hours. The hydrolysate residue was

derivatized with bis(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide - pyridine, 1:1 (v/v) at 50°C for 30 minutes. GC-MS analyses were carried out using a J&W DB-5 Durabond capillary column (15 m \times 0.3 mm, 25 *u*m film). Components were identified by interpretation of their mass spectra and by comparison to library spectra. High resolution LSIMS-Cs data were obtained on a JEOL HX110 at 10 kV using Ultramark 1621 as the internal standard. The ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at 400 MHz in DMSO- d_6 on a Varian XL400 NMR spectrometer. The ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on the same instrument in DMSO- d_6 and a Bruker AM-400 instrument both at 100 MHz. The HMBC experiment was optimized for 8Hz. The 2D-NOESY experiment was recorded with a repetition rate of 3 seconds and mixing time of 0.5 second.
Amino acid stereochemistry was determined by the α -methylbenzyl isothiocyanate (AMBI)³⁾ and

Marfey⁴⁾ methods. In both cases, hydrolysates were prepared as above. The AMBI derivatives were prepared as follows. Approximately 10 μ g of sample was dried under vacuum. Residual amounts of water were removed by the addition of 10 μ l of drying reagent (2:2:1 (v/v/v) water-ethanol-triethylamine) which was then removed under vacuum. The AMBI derivative was then formed by adding 20μ of fresh derivatizing reagent $(7:1:1:1 \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}})$ ethanol - water - triethy lamine - α -methy lbenzyl isothiocy analy then allowing it to react at room temperature for 20 minutes in a closed vial. The reaction was stopped and the derivatizing reagents removed under vacuum for 60 minutes. The AMBI derivatives were separated on an ABI 130-A HPLC system with a Spheri-5RP-18 220×2.1 mm column and detected at 254 nm. The mobile phases consisted of; A, 60% ammonium acetate buffer (0.14 m, with 0.05% triethylamine, adjusted to pH 6.40 with glacial acetic acid) and 40% acetonitrile, B 60% acetonitrile and 40% water. The following gradient was used: 10% B for 4 minutes, 15% B at 5 minutes, 40% B at 30 minutes, 100% B at 35 minutes hold for 5 minutes at a flow rate of 250μ /minutes and an oven temperature of 26°C. The Marfey's derivatives were separated using two Waters 510 pumps with an automated gradient controller and a 10cm Brownlee OD-300 (C-18) 7 micron column with detection at 340 nm. The mobile phases consisted of A; 90% 50 mm triethylammonium phosphate pH 3.0 and 10% $\frac{3}{4}$ defines consistence of $\frac{3}{4}$ and $\frac{3}{$ d indicates we analyzed. 1 ml/minutes was employed.
The methanolysis product was formed by adding 3N methanolic HCl to the sample and heating at

 50° C for 1 hour (4) or 18 hours (5). 50°C for 1hour (4) or 18hours (5).

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. GREGORY HELMS for his advice on the application of the Marfey method and STEVE BRODY and
DEBORAH EVRAD (Harvard University Department of Chemistry) for providing the 3.5-DHPG reference standard.

References

- 1) DESCHAMPS, M.; F. FLOC'H, G. JUNG & R. MARGAFF (Rhone-Poulenc Sante): Immunosuppressant substances, process for their preparation and pharmaceutical compositions containing them. U.S. 4.810,692, Mar. 7, 1989
- 2) LAM, Y. K. T.; D. L. WILLIAMS, Jr., J. M. SIGMUND, M. SANCHEZ, O. GENILLOUD, Y. L. KONG, S. STEVENS-MILES, L. HUANG & G. M. GARRITY: Cochinmicins, novel and potent cyclodepsipeptide endothelin antagonists from a Microbispora sp. I. Production, isolation, and characterization. J. Antibiotics 45: $1709 \sim 1716$, 1992
- 3) GAL, J. & J. SEDMAN ALLEN: R-a-Methylbenzyl isothiocyanate, a new and convenient chiral derivatizing agent for the separation of enantiomeric amino compounds by high-performance liquid chromatography. J. Chromatogr. 314: 275~281, 1984
- $\frac{1}{1000}$ and $\frac{1}{1000}$ \mathcal{A} , and a blue green algebre green algae. J. Org. Chem. 53: 1298 \mathcal{A}